

FILED
SUPREME COURT
STATE OF WASHINGTON
10/2/2019 3:20 PM
BY SUSAN L. CARLSON
CLERK

NO. 97710-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Respondent,

v.

RICHARD LEO PARENTEAU, JR.,

Appellant.

ANSWER TO PETITION FOR REVIEW

DANIEL T. SATTERBERG
King County Prosecuting Attorney

CARLA B. CARLSTROM
Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney
Attorneys for Respondent

King County Prosecuting Attorney
W554 King County Courthouse
516 3rd Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98104
(206) 477-9497

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
A. <u>IDENTITY OF RESPONDENT</u>	1
B. <u>COURT OF APPEALS DECISION</u>	1
C. <u>STATEMENT OF THE CASE</u>	1
D. <u>ARGUMENT WHY REVIEW SHOULD BE DENIED</u>	1
E. <u>CONCLUSION</u>	2

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

Table of Cases

Washington State:

State v. Parenteau, No. 77796-3-I,
filed August 26, 2019 (unpublished)..... 1

Rules and Regulations

Washington State:

RAP 10.10 2
RAP 13.4 2

A. IDENTITY OF RESPONDENT

The State of Washington is the Respondent in this case.

B. COURT OF APPEALS DECISION

The Court of Appeals decision at issue is State v. Parenteau, No. 77796-3-I, filed August 26, 2019 (unpublished).

C. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The relevant facts are set forth in the briefing before the Court of Appeals.

D. ARGUMENT WHY REVIEW SHOULD BE DENIED

The State's briefing at the Court of Appeals adequately responds to the issues raised in the Brief of Appellant in the Court of Appeals. The State submits this Answer in order to object to consideration of the many grounds for the petition for review that were raised by Parenteau in his pro se briefing in the Court of Appeals below and are not supported by analysis in the petition for review.

Issues 4 through 10 in the petition for review were issues not raised in the Brief of Appellant in the Court of Appeals. The Court

of Appeals did not ask counsel to file additional briefing addressing those issues in the Court of Appeals pursuant to RAP 10.10(f) and neither counsel did brief these issues. With respect to each of these issues, the petition for review cites general legal principles but includes no legal analysis or argument explaining how the facts of this case violated those principles, as required by RAP 13.4(c)(7). These potential issues should not be considered as they have not been properly presented.


E. CONCLUSION

The State respectfully asks that the petition for review be denied.

DATED this 1st day of October, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

DANIEL T. SATTERBERG
King County Prosecuting Attorney

By: 
CARLA B. CARLSTROM, WSBA #27521
Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney
Attorneys for Respondent
Office WSBA #91002

KING COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE - APPELLATE UNIT

October 02, 2019 - 3:20 PM

Transmittal Information

Filed with Court: Supreme Court
Appellate Court Case Number: 97710-1
Appellate Court Case Title: State of Washington v. Richard Leo Parenteau Jr.

The following documents have been uploaded:

- 977101_Answer_Reply_20191002152014SC397703_1720.pdf
This File Contains:
Answer/Reply - Answer to Petition for Review
The Original File Name was 97710-1 - Answer to Petition for Review.pdf

A copy of the uploaded files will be sent to:

- maureen@washapp.org
- paoappellateunitmail@kingcounty.gov
- wapofficemail@washapp.org

Comments:

Sender Name: Wynne Brame - Email: wynne.brame@kingcounty.gov

Filing on Behalf of: Carla Barbieri Carlstrom - Email: carla.carlstrom@kingcounty.gov (Alternate Email:)

Address:
King County Prosecutor's Office - Appellate Unit
W554 King County Courthouse, 516 Third Avenue
Seattle, WA, 98104
Phone: (206) 477-9497

Note: The Filing Id is 20191002152014SC397703